

CHAPTER 1 - LAND MOBILE AND FIXED SERVICES

UPDATE AFTER CPM19-2

Note: Text marked in red refers to Methods/Options/Approaches proposing No Change to the RR.

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report			Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
		A	B	C			
1.11 Train & Tracksides		A	B	C	A	No Change to the RR and suppression of Res 236	Dirk Schattschneider, Germany dirk.schattschneider@deutschebahn.com
1.12 ITS		A	B	C	A	Resolution 237 (WRC-15) should be suppressed according to all the 3 approaches.	Andrianilana Rakotondradalo, France andrianilana.rakotondradalo@anfr.fr Tobias Vieracker, Germany tvieracker@apple.com
1.14	6440-6520 MHz	A	B1	C	B1 Option 1		Nasarat Ali, United Kingdom

HAPS	6560-6640 MHz	A	C		A		nasarat.ali@ofcom.org.uk
	21.4-22 GHz	A	B2			Region 2 only	
	24.25-25.25 GHz	A	B3			Region 2 only	
	25.25-27.5 GHz	A	B2			Region 2 only	
	27.9-28.2 GHz	A	B1	C	B1 Option 1		
	31-31.3 GHz	A	B1	C	B1 Options 1A+1B		
	38-39.5 GHz	A	B2		B2 Options 1A+1B		

	47.2-47.5 GHz / 47.9-48.2 GHz	A	B1		C			B1 Example 2			
1.15 Services above 275 GHz		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	E	<p>Method E is proposed by CEPT</p> <p>Other Methods than E widely overlap in the proposed bands but with different implementation.</p> <p>Especially <u>object method D, Option 2</u> since LMS bands have been shown incompatible.</p> <p>Methods F and G have been added at CPM19-2</p>	<p>Sebastian Rey, Germany</p> <p>rey@ifn.ing.tu-bs.de</p>

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Analysis as BEFORE CPM19-2

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report			Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
		A	B	C			
1.11 Train & Tracksides		A	B	C	A	No Change to the RR and suppression of Res 236	Dirk Schattschneider, Germany dirk.schattschneider@deutschebahn.com
1.12 ITS		A	B	C	A	Resolution 237 (WRC-15) should be suppressed according to all the 3 approaches.	Andrianilana Rakotondradalo, France andrianilana.rakotondradalo@anfr.fr Tobias Vieracker, Germany tvieracker@apple.com

1.14 HAPS	6440-6520 MHz	A	B1	C	B1 Option 1		Nasarat Ali, United Kingdom nasarat.ali@ofcom.org.uk
	6560-6640 MHz	A		C	A		
	21.4-22 GHz	A		B2		Region 2 only	
	24.25-25.25 GHz	A		B3		Region 2 only	
	25.25-27.5 GHz	A		B2		Region 2 only	
	27.9-28.2 GHz	A	B1	C	B1 Option 1		
	31-31.3 GHz	A	B1	C	B1 Options 1A+1B		
	38-39.5 GHz	A		B2	B2 Options 1A+1B		

	47.2-47.5 GHz / 47.9-48.2 GHz	A	B1	C	B1 Example 2				
1.15 Services above 275 GHz		A	B	C	D	E	E	<p>Method E is proposed by CEPT</p> <p>Other Methods than E widely overlap in the proposed bands but with different implementation.</p> <p>Especially <u>object method D, Option 2</u> since LMS bands have been shown incompatible.</p>	<p>Sebastian Rey, Germany</p> <p>rey@ifn.ing.tu-bs.de</p>

CHAPTER 2 - BROADBAND APPLICATIONS IN THE MOBILE SERVICE

UPDATE AFTER CPM19-2

Note: Text marked in red refers to Methods/Options/Approaches proposing No Change to the RR.

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report			Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
1.13 IMT 2020	24.25-27.5 GHz (A)	A1	A2	A2a	Alternative 2 A2a Option 1		Robert Cooper, United Kingdom Robert.cooper@ofcom.org.uk Coordination team: Vladislav Sorokin, Russian Federation v.s@inbox.ru Sarunas Oberauskas, Lithuania sarunas.oberauskas@rrt.lt
				A2b	Alternative 2 A2b Option 2		
				A2c	Alternative 2 A2c Option 1		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report		Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
				A2d Alternative 2 A2d Option 1		
				A2e Alternative 2 A2e Option 6		
				A2f Alternative 2 A2f Option 1		
				A2g Alternative 2 A2g Option 3		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report				Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
	31.8-33.4 GHz (B)	B1				B1		
	37-40.5 GHz (C)	C1	C2	C2a	C3	C1	No Change (Not underlined)	
		C2b						
	C2c							
	C2d							
	C2e							
40.5-42.5 GHz (D)	D1	D2	D2a		Alternative 2 D2a Option 1	Example 2 text in Resolution 40/50 GHz		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report			Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
				D2b	Alternative 2 D2b Option 1		
				D2c	Alternative 2 D2c Option 3		
	42.5-43.5 GHz (E)	E1	E2	E2a	Alternative 2 E2a Option 5		
				E2b	Alternative 2 E2b Option 1		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report					Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)	
						E2c	Alternative 2 E2c Option 3			
						E2d	Alternative 2 E2d Option 1			
	45.5-47 GHz (F)	F1	F2	F3	F3a	F4		To be determined		
					F3b					
					F3c					
	47-47.2 GHz (G)	G1	G2	G3	G3a			To be determined		
					G3b					

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report						Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
	47.2-50.2 GHz (H)	H1	H2			H2a			To be determined	
						H2b				
						H2c				
						H2d				
	50.4-52.6 GHz (I)	I1	I2			I2a			To be determined	
						I2b				
						I2c				
						I2d				
	66-71 GHz (J)	J1	J2	J2a	J3	J4	J4a	Alternative 2		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report						Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
								J2a Option1		
				J2b			J4b	Alternative 2 J2b View 1	View 1	
				J2c				Alternative 2 J2c Option 3		
	71-76 GHz (K)	K1	K2			K2a		K1		
				K2b						
				K2c						
	81-86 GHz (L)	L1	L2			L2a		L1		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report				Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
					L2b			
					L2c			
					L2d			
					L2e			
1.16 RLAN 5 GHz	5150-5250 MHz (A)	A1	A2/A6	A3	A4/A5	No position as yet	Resolution 239 (WRC-15) should be suppressed according to all methods. ECP on Bands 5250-5350 MHz (B) 5350-5470 MHz (C) 5850-5925 MHz (E) were finally approved	Andrew Gowans, United Kingdom andrew.gowans@ofcom.org.uk
	5250-5350 MHz (B)	B				B		
	5350-5470 MHz (C)	C				C		
	5725-5850 MHz (D)	D1	D2	D3		No position as yet		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report	Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
	5850-5925 MHz (E)	E	E	<p>at CPG19-7 (Nov 2018)</p> <p>At CPM-19-2 (Feb 19) Two new methods added A5 and A6 added for the 5150 – 5250 MHz to reflect slight difference between method A2/A6 (OOB emissions) and A4/A5 (train, Car& drone versus car only)</p> <p>Additionally, in the band 5725 – 5850 MHz Method D2 changed only cover region 1 &3 and method D3 changed to only include option</p>	

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report	Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
				of new national footnote.	
9.1.1 2GHz MSS	Scenario A1	View 1 establishing an e.i.r.p. limit with the value 20 dBm/5 MHz on IMT stations	Limiting the e.i.r.p. of IMT base stations in the uplink band (1980-2010 MHz) at least for Region 1 and 3	4 interference scenarios (A1, A2, B1, B2) studied With respect to the results of studies, different views were expressed CEPT supports View 1	Denise Urbach, Germany denise.urbach@bnetza.de Marco Marcovina, France marco.marcovina@anfr.fr
		View 2 No Change			
	Scenario A2	View 1 Regulated by current Appendix 7 provisions on border coordination	Managed by cross-border coordination provisions in the		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report	Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
			Appendix 7 and no requirement for additional regulatory measures		
		View 2 No Change			
	Scenario B1	View 1 Addressed by current provisions on border coordination, with changes to Appendix 7 (Table 7a)	Managed by cross-border coordination provisions in the Appendix 7 and no requirement for additional		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report	Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
			regulatory measures		
		View 2 No Change			
	Scenario B2	View 1 New pfd coordination threshold and add note 11, delete last part of note 3	Discussion in next ECC PT1 regarding following this view		
		View 2 No Change			

Analysis as BEFORE CPM19-2

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report		Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
1.13 IMT 2020	24.25-27.5 GHz (A)	A1	A2	a	A2a Option 1	Robert Cooper, United Kingdom Robert.cooper@ofcom.org.uk Coordination team: Vladislav Sorokin, Russian Federation v.s@inbox.ru Sarunas Oberauskas, Lithuania sarunas.oberauskas@rrt.lt
				b	A2b Option 2	
				c	A2c Option 1	
				d	A2d Option 1	
				e	A2e Option 4	
				f	A2f Option 1	
				g	A2g Option 3	

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report			Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
	31.8-33.4 GHz (B)	B1			B1		
	37-40.5 GHz (C)	C1	C2	a	C1	No Change (Not underlined)	
	b						
	c						
d							
e							
40.5-42.5 GHz (D)	D1	D2	a	D2a Option 1	Example 2 text in Resolution 40/50 GHz		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report		Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
				b	D2b Option 1	
				c	D2c Option 3	
	42.5-43.5 GHz (E)	E1	E2	a	E2a Option 4	
				b	E2b Option 1	
				c	E2c Option 3	
	45.5-47 GHz (F)	F1	F2	a		To be determined
				b		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report			Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
	47-47.2 GHz (G)	G1	G2	a		To be determined	
				b			
	47.2-50.2 GHz (H)	H1	H2	a		To be determined	
				b			
				c			
				d			
	50.4-52.6 GHz (I)	I1	I2	a		To be determined	
				b			
				c			

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report				Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
	66-71 GHz (J)	J1	J2	a	J3	J2a Option1		
				b		J2b Option1		
				c		J2c Option 3		
	71-76 GHz (K)	K1	K2	a	K1			
				b				
				c				
	81-86 GHz (L)	L1	L2	a	L1			
				b				
				c				

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report				Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
					d			
					e			
1.16 RLAN 5 GHz	5150-5250 MHz (A)	A1	A2	A3	A4	No position as yet	Resolution 239 (WRC-15) should be suppressed according to all methods. ECP on Bands 5250-5350 MHz (B) 5350-5470 MHz (C) 5850-5925 MHz (E)	Andrew Gowans, United Kingdom andrew.gowans@ofcom.org.uk
	5250-5350 MHz (B)	B				B		
	5350-5470 MHz (C)	C				C		
	5725-5850 MHz (D)	D1	D2	D3		No position as yet		
	5850-5925 MHz (E)	E				E		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report		Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
					were finally approved at CPG19-7 (Nov 2018)	
9.1.1 2GHz MSS	Scenario A1	View 1	Option 1: establishing an e.i.r.p. limit	Limiting the e.i.r.p. of IMT base stations in the uplink band (1980-2010 MHz) at least for Region 1 and 3	4 interference scenarios (A1, A2, B1, B2) studied With respect to the results of studies, different views were expressed CEPT supports View 1	Denise Urbach, Germany denise.urbach@bnetza.de Marco Marcovina, France marco.marcovina@anfr.fr
	Option 2: no use of the band by IMT BS					
View 2						

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report	Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
	Scenario A2	View 1 Regulated by current Appendix 7 provisions on border coordination	Managed by cross-border coordination provisions in the Appendix 7 and no requirement for additional regulatory measures		
		View 2			
	Scenario B1	View 1 Addressed by current provisions on border coordination, with changes to Appendix 7 (Table 7a)	Managed by cross-border coordination provisions in the Appendix 7 and no requirement		

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report		Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
				for additional regulatory measures		
		View 2				
	Scenario B2	View 1	Option 1: existing pfd threshold and delete note 3	Option still to be chosen for B2		
			Option 2: new pfd coordination threshold and add note 11			
		View 2				

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report			Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
9.1.5 Protection criteria 5 GHz		A	B	C	No position as yet	<p>Resolution 764 (WRC-15) should be suppressed according to all the 3 Approaches.</p> <p>We expect new compromise methods to be added to the ones in the existing documents that may be a compromise that CEPT</p>	<p>Andrew Gowans, United Kingdom</p> <p>andrew.gowans@ofcom.org.uk</p>

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report			Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
						could support.	
9.1.8 Machine-type communications		No Change			No Change	ITU studies indicated that there is no need for regulatory actions in RR	Eugene Tonkikh, Russian Federation et@niir.ru

CHAPTER 3 - SATELLITE SERVICES

Notify me when there are new posts Email

Doriana Guiducci 30/01/19 10:46

UPDATE AFTER CPM19-2

Note: Text marked in red refers to Methods/Options/Approaches proposing No Change to the RR.

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report		Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
1.4 Review Annex 7 of Appendix 30		A	B	B		Adrian Herbera, Spain aherbera@hispasat.es
1.5 ESIM		A	B	B		Nandan Patel, United Kingdom Nandan.Patel@ofcom.org.uk

1.6 Non-GSO FSS Q/V band		A	B	A	The draft ECP is reflected in Method A, which has several options	Maxim Strelets, Russian Federation mstrelets@g-tl.ru
7 Satellite Procedures	Issue A				Options A, B, C on period for confirming BIU Different options for alignment of NGSO system with MIFR Options proposed for frequency bands and services for the application of the milestone-based approach	Anna Marklund, Sweden anna.marklund@ses.com <u>Coordination team:</u> <i>Issue A</i> Thomas Welter, France thomas.welter@anfr.fr <i>Issues F and G</i> Kjersti Hamborgstrom, Norway kjersti.hamborgstrom@telenor.com
	Issue B	Single Method		The single method		

	Issue C	Single Methods			All single methods	7 issues where consensus was achieved in ITU-R (C1-C7)	<i>Issue I</i> Thomas Weber, Germany thomas.j.weber@bnetza.de
	Issue D	D1	D2		D1		
	Issue E	Single Method			The single method		
	Issue F	F1	F2	F3	F4	F1	
	Issue G	G1	G2	G3		G1	
	Issue H	Single Method			The single method	At CPM19-2: Relabeled, former Issue H, I and L combined	
	Issue I	Single Method				At CPM19-2: Relabeled, former Issue M	
	Issue J	J1	J2				

	Issue K	Single Method									The single method		
9.1.2 BSS Sound		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Possible Action 3 Alternative 1	9 possible Actions listed	Denise Urbach, Germany denise.urbach@bnetza.de Marco Marcovina, France marco.marcovina@anfr.fr
9.1.3 Non GSO FSS C- band												2 different studies available	Ethan Lavan, France elavan@eutelsat.com
9.1.9 FSS 50 GHz		Example 1									Example 1	Regulatory solution in line with CEPT position. ECP should be updated to include an additional provision from Example 1	Soraya Contreras, France soraya.contreras@anfr.fr

Analysis as BEFORE CPM19-2

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report				Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
1.4 Review Annex 7 of Appendix 30		A	B	C			CEPT does not support method A (No Change), still discussing Methods B and C	Adrian Herbera, Spain aherbera@hispasat.es
1.5 ESIM		A		B		B		Nandan Patel, United Kingdom Nandan.Patel@ofcom.org.uk
1.6 Non-GSO FSS Q/V band		A	B	C	D	A + [D]	There are also options in Method A. Some are	Maxim Strelets, Russian Federation mstrelets@g-tl.ru

							<p>not the same as those in the draft ECP.</p> <p>CEPT is considering the need of having Method D</p>	
7 Satellite Procedures	Issue A						<p>Options A, B, C on period for confirming BIU</p> <p>Different options for alignment of NGSO system with MIFR</p> <p>Options proposed for frequency bands and</p>	<p>Anna Marklund, Sweden anna.marklund@ses.com</p> <p><u>Coordination team:</u></p> <p><i>Issue A</i></p> <p>Thomas Welter, France thomas.welter@anfr.fr</p> <p><i>Issues F and G</i></p> <p>Kjersti Hamborgstrom, Norway kjersti.hamborgstrom@telenor.com</p>

					services for the application of the milestone-based approach	<i>Issue M</i> Thomas Weber, Germany thomas.j.weber@bnetza.de
Issue B	B1	B2		B2		
Issue C	Single Methods			All single methods	7 issues where consensus was achieved in ITU-R (C1-C7)	
Issue D	D1	D2	D3	D2		
Issue E	Single Method			The single method		
Issue F	F1	F2		F1		

	Issue G	G1	G2	G3		G1						
	Issue H	Single Method					The single method					
	Issue I	Single Method										
	Issue J	J1	J2									
	Issue K	Single Method					The single method					
	Issue L	Single Method					The single method					
	Issue M	Single Method										
9.1.2 BSS Sound		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Possible Action 3 Alternative 1	8 possible Actions listed	Denise Urbach, Germany denise.urbach@bnetza.de Marco Marcovina, France marco.marcovina@anfr.fr

9.1.3 Non GSO FSS C- band				2 different studies available	Ethan Lavan, France elavan@eutelsat.com	
9.1.9 FSS 50 GHz		Example 1	Example 2	Example 1 Option 1A	2 Examples of regulatory solutions available for this issue	Soraya Contreras, France soraya.contreras@anfr.fr

CHAPTER 4 - SCIENCE SERVICES

Notify me when there are new posts Email

Doriana Guiducci 30/01/19 10:36

UPDATE AFTER CPM19-2

Note: Text marked in red refers to Methods/Options/Approaches proposing No Change to the RR.

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report				Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
		A	B	C	D			
1.2 Earth Stations 400 MHz	399.9-400.05 MHz	A	B	C	D	C		Jean Pla, France jean.pla@cnes.fr
	401-403 MHz	E	F	G		E	The adopted grandfathering date by CEPT is earlier as in method E: 22 November 2024 instead of 1 Jan 2029.	

1.3 Update EESS and MetSat at 460 MHz		A	B	C		C	Method supported by CEPT to be confirmed at the next PTA	Ralf Ewald, Germany ralf.ewald@dlr.de
--	--	---	---	---	--	---	---	--

Analysis as BEFORE CPM19-2

Agenda item	Specific sub- item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report				Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
		A	B	C	D			
1.2 Earth Stations 400 MHz	399.9-400.05 MHz	A	B	C	D	C		Jean Pla, France jean.pla@cnes.fr
	401-403 MHz	E		F		E	The adopted grandfathering date by CEPT is earlier as in method E: 22 November 2024 instead of 1 Jan 2029.	
1.3		A		B		B Option 1		Ralf Ewald, Germany ralf.ewald@dlr.de

Update EESS and MetSat at 460 MHz							
1.7 non-GSO satellites with short duration missions		A	B1	B2	C	Method C is supported partially at this stage as follows: currently there is agreement on the 137-138 MHz downlink part of this method, however there is no agreement on 148-149.9 MHz yet	Wouter Jan Ubbels, The Netherlands w.j.ubbels@isispace.nl

CHAPTER 5 - MARITIME, AERONAUTICAL AND AMATEUR SERVICES

Notify me when there are new posts Email

Doriana Guiducci 30/01/19 10:28

UPDATE AFTER CPM19-2

Note: Text marked in red refers to Methods/Options/Approaches proposing No Change to the RR.

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report				Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
1.1 Amateur in 50-54 MHz		A	B		C	D	All methods propose suppression of Resolution 658 (WRC-15)	Hans Blondeel Timmermann, The Netherlands Pb2t@me.com
			B1	B2				
1.8	Issue A - Modernisation	A1	A2		A3	Mostly A2		

GMDSS	Issue B – Additional systems	B1	B2		B3	B4				
			B2a	B2b						
1.9.1 Autonomous maritime radio devices	Group A devices	A						A		Heinrich Peters, Germany heinrich.peters@bnetza.de
	Group B devices	B1	B2			B3	B2			
1.9.2 VDES satellite		A	B Two options for pfd mask	C	D Two options for pfd mask	E	F	B with option 1	The Draft ECP is in line with Method B using Option 1 for the pfd mask	Lars Løge, Norway lars.loge@statsat.no
1.10 GADSS		A		B		C		NONE	PTC will seek a preliminary CEPT position	Jérôme André, France jerome.andre@anfr.fr

9.1.4 Spaceplanes		No Change	No Change		Acting Coordinator Martin Weber, Germany, Martin.Weber@BNetzA.de
----------------------	--	-----------	-----------	--	--

Analysis as BEFORE CPM19-2

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report					Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
		A	B1	B2	C	D			
1.1 Amateur in 50-54 MHz		A	B1	B2	C	D		All methods propose suppression of Resolution 658 (WRC-15)	Hans Blondeel Timmermann, The Netherlands Pb2t@me.com
1.8	Issue A - Modernisation	A1		A2		Mostly A2			Talayeh Hezareh, Germany

GMDSS	Issue B – Additional systems	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5a	B5b	Mostly B5b	Multi-country proposal under preparation to reduce the number of methods to B1, B4, B5b	thezareh@mpifr-bonn.mpg.de
1.9.1 Autonomous maritime radio devices	Group A devices	A						A		Heinrich Peters, Germany heinrich.peters@bnetza.de
	Group B devices	B1		B2		B3	B1, B2			
1.9.2 VDES satellite		A	B	C	D	E	F	B	The Draft ECP is not identical, but corresponds in general to Method B	Lars Løge, Norway lars.loge@statsat.no
1.10		A			B			NONE		Jérôme André, France

GADSS						jerome.andre@anfr.fr
9.1.4 Spaceplanes		No Change		No Change		Acting Coordinator Martin Weber, Germany, Martin.Weber@BNetzA.de

CHAPTER 6 - GENERAL ISSUES

Notify me when there are new posts Email

Doriana Guiducci 30/01/19 10:20

UPDATE AFTER CPM19-2

Note: Text marked in red refers to Methods/Options/Approaches proposing No Change to the RR.

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report	Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
2 Incorporation by reference					Karel Antousek, Czech Republic antousekk@ctu.cz
4 Review of WRC Resolutions and Recommendations					Karel Antousek, Czech Republic antousekk@ctu.cz

9.1.6 WPT	19-25 kHz	No Change		No Change	High power WPT	Fatih Mehmet Yurdal, Turkey fmyurdal@yurdalconsult.com
	55-5x kHz 6y-65 kHz				High power WPT	
	79-90 kHz				Medium power WPT	
9.1.7 Unauthorised VSAT	Issue 2a – Uplink transmission limitations	Option 1	Option 2	Option 1		Guy Christiansen, Germany Guy.Christiansen@viasat.com
	Issue 2b – Assist administrations	Single Option				
10	GMDSS			Supported by CEPT		Pasi Toivonen, Finland

Future Agenda	Space borne radar sounders 45 MHz		Supported by CEPT		pasi.toivonen@traficom.fi <u>Coordination team:</u> Karsten Buckwitz, Germany karsten.buckwitz@bnetza.de Wesley Milton, United Kingdom wesley.milton@ofcom.org.uk
	Space weather sensors		Supported by CEPT		
	Fixed satellite service 37.5 - 39.5 GHz				
	Review of 470-960 MHz in Region 1		Supported by CEPT		

Analysis as BEFORE CPM19-2

Agenda item	Specific sub-item/band/issue (if applicable)	Methods included in the draft CPM Report	Method supported by CEPT (if any)	Notes	CEPT Coordinator(s)
-------------	--	--	-----------------------------------	-------	---------------------

2					Karel Antousek, Czech Republic antousekk@ctu.cz	
4					Karel Antousek, Czech Republic antousekk@ctu.cz	
9.1.6 WPT	19-25 kHz	No Change		No Change	High power WPT	Fatih Mehmet Yurdal, Turkey fmyurdal@yurdalconsult.com
	55-5x kHz 6x-65 kHz				High power WPT	
	79-90 kHz				Medium power WPT	
9.1.7	Issue 2a –	Option 1	Option 2	Option 1	Guy Christiansen, Germany	

Unauthorised VSAT	Uplink transmission limitations				Guy.Christiansen@viasat.com
	Issue 2b – Assist administrations	Single Option			
10 Future Agenda	GMDSS		Supported by CEPT		Pasi Toivonen, Finland pasi.toivonen@traficom.fi <u>Coordination team:</u> Karsten Buckwitz, Germany karsten.buckwitz@bnetza.de Wesley Milton, United Kingdom wesley.milton@ofcom.org.uk
	Space borne radar sounders 45 MHz		Supported by CEPT		
	Space weather sensors		Supported by CEPT		
	Fixed satellite service 37.5 - 39.5 GHz				

	Review of 470-960 MHz in Region 1		Supported by CEPT		
--	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--

OTHERS

Notify me when there are new posts Email

Doriana Guiducci 30/01/19 11:01

Agenda Item 8 (Review of footnotes) is not in the scope of the CPM.

Agenda item	Notes	CEPT Coordinator
8 Review of footnotes		Dmytro Protsenko, Ukraine protsenko@ucrf.gov.ua